

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

LG DISPLAY CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 06-726-JJF

C.A. No. 07-357-JJF

CONSOLIDATED CASES

**STIPULATION AND ORDER RE:
ISSUING LETTER OF REQUEST (THE NETHERLANDS)**

THE PARTIES HERETO STIPULATE AND AGREE, subject to the approval of the Court, that a Letter of Request, in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 1, be issued by the Court to the Appropriate Judicial Authority of The Netherlands, seeking information from the foreign company identified below by stipulation.

1. A Letter of Request, in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 1, shall be issued on behalf of AU Optronics Corporation to the following company and corresponding judicial authority:

Philips Electronics (The Netherlands)

2. The parties reserve all rights and objections concerning information provided by any third party in response to any Letter of Request, including objections to any documents offered into evidence at trial.

3. Upon the Court's approval, the Letter of Request (Exhibit 1) shall be issued by the Court with the Court's signature and seal, and provided to counsel for translation and forwarding to the appropriate judicial authority.

Dated: August 18, 2008

/s/ Karen L. Pascale

OF COUNSEL:

Vincent K. Yip
Peter J. Wied
Terry Garnett
Katherine Murray
PAUL HASTINGS JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP
515 South Flower Street, 25th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 683-6000

John W. Shaw (#3362)
Karen L. Pascale (#2903)
YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR LLP
The Brandywine Building
1000 West Street, 17th Floor
P.O. Box 391
Wilmington, DE 19899-0391
(301) 571-6600
kpascale@ycst.com

M. Craig Tyler
Brian D. Range
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
8911 Capital of Texas Highway North
Westech 360, Suite 3350
Austin, TX 78759-8497
(512) 338-5400

*Attorneys for AU Optronics Corporation and AU
Optronics Corporation America*

Ron E. Shulman
Julie M. Holloway
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050

OF COUNSEL:

Gaspere J. Bono
Song K. Jung
R. Tyler Goodwyn, IV
Lora A. Brzezynski
McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 496-7500

/s/ Richard D. Kirk

Richard D. Kirk (#0922)
Ashley B. Stitzer (#3891)
THE BAYARD FIRM
222 Delaware Avenue, 9th Floor
P.O. Box 25130
Wilmington, DE 19899-5130
(302) 655-5000
rkirk@bayardfirm.com

*Attorneys for LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG
Display America, Inc.*

OF COUNSEL:

Jonathan S. Kagan
Alexander C.D. Giza
Adam Hoffman
IRELL & MANELLA LLP
1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
Los Angeles, California 90067-4276
Telephone: (310) 277-1010

/s/ Philip A. Rovner

Philip A. Rovner (#3215)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 984-6000
provner@potteranderson.com

*Attorneys for Chi Mei Optoelectronics
Corporation and Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA,
Inc.*

SO ORDERED this ____ day of _____, 2008.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Karen L. Pascale, Esquire, hereby certify that on August 18, 2008, I caused to be electronically filed a true and correct copy of the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification that such filing is available for viewing and downloading to the following counsel of record:

Richard D. Kirk [rkirk@bayardfirm.com]
Ashley B. Stitzer [astitzer@bayardfirm.com]
BAYARD, P.A.
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900
P.O. Box. 25130
Wilmington, DE 19899-5130
(302) 655-5000

Attorneys for LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG Display America, Inc.

Philip A. Rovner [provner@potteranderson.com]
David E. Moore [dmoore@potteranderson.com]
POTTER, ANDERSON & CORROON
6th Floor, Hercules Plaza
1313 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

*Attorneys for Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation and
Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.*

I further certify that I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served by e-mail on the above-listed counsel of record and on the following non-registered participants in the manner indicated:

By E-mail

Gaspere J. Bono [gbono@mckennalong.com]
Matthew T. Bailey [mbailey@mckennalong.com]
R. Tyler Goodwyn, IV [tgoodwyn@mckennalong.com]
Lora A. Brzezynski [lbrzezynski@mckennalong.com]
Cass W. Christenson [cchristenson@mckennalong.com]
McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 496-7500

Attorneys for LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG Display America, Inc.

Jonathan S. Kagan [jkagan@irell.com]
Alexander C.D. Giza [agiza@irell.com]
IRELL & MANELLA LLP
1800 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 900
Los Angeles, CA 90067
(310) 277-1010

*Attorneys for Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation and
Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.*

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR LLP

/s/ Karen L. Pascale

August 18, 2008

Richard H. Morse (#531) [rmorse@ycst.com]
John W. Shaw (#3362) [jshaw@ycst.com]
Karen L. Pascale (#2903) [kpascale@ycst.com]
The Brandywine Building
1000 West St., 17th Floor
P.O. Box 391
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0391
Phone: 302-571-6600

*Attorneys for AU Optronics Corporation and
AU Optronics Corporation America*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

LG DISPLAY CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 06-726-JJF

C.A. No. 07-357-JJF

CONSOLIDATED CASES

**REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE PURSUANT TO THE
HAGUE EVIDENCE CONVENTION ON THE TAKING OF EVIDENCE ABROAD IN
CIVIL OR COMMERCIAL MATTERS
(Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV)**

TO THE APPROPRIATE JUDICIAL AUTHORITY OF THE NETHERLANDS:

The United States District Court for the District of Delaware presents its compliments and requests International Judicial Assistance to permit AU Optronics Corporation, defendant and counter-plaintiff in the above-captioned civil matter to take the deposition of and request the production of documents from Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV (referred to herein as "Philips").

This Court requests the assistance described herein as necessary in the interests of justice. The assistance requested is that the Appropriate Judicial Authority of The Netherlands compels testimony upon oral examination relating to the questions in attached Schedule A and to compel the production of documents requested in attached Schedule B.

IDENTITY AND ADDRESS OF THE SENDER:

United States District Court for the District of Delaware
844 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
United States of America

CENTRAL AUTHORITY OF THE RECEIVING STATE:

De Officier van Justitie
Postbus 20302
2500 EH The Hague
Telephone: +31 (0)70 381 31 31
Fax: +31 (0)70 381 3840

PERSON TO WHOM EXECUTED REQUEST IS TO BE RETURNED:

The Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.
United States District Court for the District of Delaware
844 North King St.
Wilmington, DE 19801
United States of America

RESPONSE DATE:

The requesting authority respectfully requires receipt of the complete response to the Letter of Request by December 17, 2008 if at all possible, as this is the deadline imposed by the Court for all matters of this type to be completed. The requesting authority would appreciate a response prior to this date, if possible.

The specific request for judicial assistance follows:

HAGUE EVIDENCE CONVENTION REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE

In conformity with Article 3 of the Hague Convention on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters (the "Hague Evidence Convention"), the undersigned applicant has the honor to submit the following request:

REQUESTING JUDICIAL AUTHORITY:

The Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.
United States District Court for the District of Delaware
844 North King St.
Wilmington, DE 19801
United States of America

ENTITY FROM WHOM EVIDENCE IS REQUESTED:

The Requesting Authority seeks the assistance of the competent authority of Amsterdam, The Netherlands to obtain documentary evidence for use at the trial of this action, from the following entity known to be residing in The Netherlands:

**Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.
Breitner Center, Amstelplein 2
1096 BC Amsterdam, The Netherlands**

The company is referred to herein as “Philips.”

PARTIES AND THEIR REPRESENTATIVES:

PARTY	REPRESENTATIVES
<p><i><u>Plaintiffs / Counter-Defendants:</u></i></p> <p>LG DISPLAY Co., LTD. 17th Fl., West Tower, LG Twin Towers 20 Yoido-dong, Youngdungpo-gu Seoul, 150-721, South Korea</p> <p>LG DISPLAY Co., LCD AMERICA. 150 East Brokaw Rd. San Jose, California 95112</p>	<p>BAYARD, P.A. Richard D. Kirk Ashley B. Stitzer Stephen B. Brauerman 222 Delaware Avenue, 9th Floor P.O. Box 25130 Wilmington, DE 19899 Telephone: (302) 655-5000</p> <p>MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP Gaspere J. Bono R. Tyler Goodwyn, IV Lora A. Brzezynski 1900 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006 Telephone: (202) 496-7500</p>

PARTY	REPRESENTATIVES
<p><u>Defendants / CounterPlaintiffs:</u></p> <p>AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION No. 1, Li-Hsin Rd. 2 Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30078 Taiwan, R.O.C.</p> <p>AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION AMERICA 1800 Wyatt Drive, Suite 7 Santa Clara, CA 95054</p>	<p>PAUL HASTINGS JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP Vincent K. Yip Terry D. Garnett Peter J. Wied Jay C. Chiu Katherine F. Murray 515 South Flower Street, 25th Floor Lost Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 683-6000</p> <p>WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, P.C. Ron E. Shulman Julie M. Holloway 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone (650) 493-9300</p> <p>M. Craig Tyler Brian D. Range Jeffrey M. Whiting 900 South Capital of Texas Highway Las Cimas IV, Fifth Floor Austin, Texas 78759-8497 Telephone: (512) 338-5400</p> <p>YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP Richard H. Morse John W. Shaw Karen L. Pascale The Brandywine Building 1000 West St., 17th Floor P.O. Box 391 Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0391 Telephone: (302) 571-6600</p>

PARTY	REPRESENTATIVES
<p><u>Defendant:</u></p> <p>CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS CORPORATION No. 3, Sec. 1, Huanshi Rd. Southern Taiwan Science Park, Sinshih Township, Tainan County, 74147 Taiwan R.O.C.</p>	<p>POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP Philip A. Rovner Hercules Plaza P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899 Telephone: (302) 984-6000</p> <p>IRELL & MANELLA LLP Jonathan S. Kagan Alexander C. D. Giza 1800 Avenue of the Starts Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-1010</p>

NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THE PROCEEDINGS:

The above-captioned lawsuit is an action by Plaintiff LG Display Co., Ltd., a corporation existing under the laws of Korea, against Defendants that include AU Optonics Corporation (“AUO”) and Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation (“CMO”), both corporations existing under the laws of Taiwan. AUO asserted its own claims against LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG Display America, Inc., a corporation existing under the laws of the United States. Both LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG Display America, Inc. are referred to collectively as “LG Display.” This Court properly has jurisdiction over all the parties and the subject matter of this action.

AUO’s claims against LG Display are for infringement of United States Patent Nos. 5,748,266, 6,689,629, 6,976,781, 6,778,160, 6,734,944, 7,125,157, 7,090,506, and 7,101,069 (collectively, “the AUO Patents-in-Suit”), which cover various aspects of liquid crystal display (“LCD”) technology. AUO alleges that LG Display makes and sells certain LCD modules that infringe the various claims of the AUO Patents-in-Suit.

AUO alleges that LG Display manufactures infringing LCD modules and that LG Display sells and supplies those allegedly infringing modules to LCD manufacturers, including Philips, located in The Netherlands and elsewhere for use in making LCD products such as televisions, laptop computers and computer monitors. Philips, in turn, either directly imports and sells such display products containing the allegedly infringing LG Display LCD modules in the United States or supplies the products to companies and brands that import and sell those products in the United States. AUO believes that LG Display sells LCD modules directly to Philips and other customers. In addition, AUO believes LG Display may ship LCD modules to Philips that LG Display in turn sells to customers whose LCD products are made or supplied by Philips, using infringing LG Display modules.

THE EVIDENCE TO BE OBTAINED:

AUO seeks information from Philips regarding their use and purchase of LG Display's LCD products. Information sought by AUO, for example, includes the quantities and model numbers of LG Display products purchased by Philips, which brands and model numbers of finished LCD products use LG Display's products, and the sale and distribution of these products, including into and for the United States market. AUO thus seeks to depose Philips regarding the set of questions listed in the attached Schedule A. AUO also seeks to obtain from Philips the documents and things listed in the attached Schedule B.

By compelling Philips to answer the questions listed in attached Schedule A and to produce the documents and things listed in attached Schedule B, the Appropriate Judicial Authority of The Netherlands will allow AUO to obtain necessary and material evidence for use at trial concerning issues including whether LG Display induces infringement of the AUO Patents-in-Suit and the amount of damages that should be awarded to AUO regarding any direct and/or indirect infringement.

PROCEDURES TO BE FOLLOWED:

It is requested that the witnesses be placed under oath before answering the questions. In the event that the witnesses cannot be placed under oath, it is requested that they answer questions in such manner as provided by local law for taking evidence.

It is also requested: a) that the responses of the witnesses be recorded by verbatim stenography or, if this is not possible, that AUO be allowed to bring a court reporter to the examination of the witnesses in order to keep such verbatim minutes; b) that the witnesses sign the verbatim transcript or other record of their responses to the questions; and c) that the Court take all available measures to protect the confidentiality of information obtained during the testimony.

It is further requested that the Court request Philips produce or make the available for inspection the documents requested in Schedule B to AUO's United States trial counsel at the following address:

Jeffrey M. Whiting
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati P.C.
900 South Capital of Texas Highway
Las Cimas IV, 5th Floor
Austin, Texas, USA 78759

It is also requested, given the importance of the evidence to be obtained, that these Requests be given the highest consideration and that the documents requested be provided as soon as possible. Complete responses are respectfully requested by December 17, 2008, as this is the deadline imposed by the Court for all matters of this type. To the extent that any of these Requests cannot be granted, the execution of these Requests shall be performed according to applicable law and the fact that some part or parts of this request can not be granted should not affect the execution of the remaining parts.

It is requested that the parties' U.S. legal representatives identified above (Jeffrey M. Whiting) be informed as soon as practicable of the date and place where the examination is to

take place. It is further requested that, if possible, notice should be furnished to these legal representatives at least 15 days before the date set for the examination.

Any privilege to refuse to give evidence under United States law is contained in the United States Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP"), Federal Rules of Evidence and other applicable United States laws. Specifically, the witness must answer all questions unless the witness is directed by counsel not to answer the question. Such an instruction is appropriate only when necessary to preserve a privilege, to enforce a limitation directed by the court, or to support a motion to cease testimony due to harassment of the witness. See FRCP 30(d)(1).

With regard to the documents requested above, if Philips contends that the contents of a document requested to be produced are protected from disclosure by virtue of a privilege, it is intended and requested that Philips provide a description of the document in question which shall include, with respect to each document:

- (a) The privilege Philips contends protects the document from disclosure;
- (b) An identification of the type of document (*e.g.*, letter, memorandum, facsimile, notes, etc.);
- (c) The date of the document;
- (d) The author(s) of each document and any person(s) who received or obtained copies of the document; and
- (e) A description of the general subject matter of the document.

This Court respectfully requests that the Appropriate Judicial Authority in The Netherlands provide the foregoing judicial assistance pursuant to the Hague Evidence Convention. In addition, and in return for the favor of such judicial assistance by the Appropriate Judicial Authority in The Netherlands with respect to this matter, this Court offers its future assistance which may be hereafter required in the District of Delaware by the Appropriate Judicial Authority of The Netherlands.

The fees and costs incurred which are reimbursable under The Hague Evidence Convention will be borne by AU Optronics Corporation.

This Letter of Request is made this _____ day of _____, 2008 by the undersigned requesting court, the United States District Court for the District of Delaware.

Respectfully requested,

The Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.
United States District Judge

United States District Court for the
District of Delaware
844 North King Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801-3519
United States of America

Judicial Seal:

SCHEDULE A

NOTE: Throughout these requests, "LG Display" refers to both LG Display Co., Ltd and LG Display America, Inc.

QUESTIONS TO BE ASKED THE WITNESSES AT DEPOSITION

1. Did you have, or do you have, any strategic alliances with LG Display?
If so, please describe the nature of the alliance and when that alliance first began.
2. For each year since 2001, what percentage of your LCD products contain an LG Display LCD module?
3. For each year since 2001, which of your models use which LCD modules supplied by LG Display?
4. Are your products that use LG Display LCD modules supplied in whole or part for the United States?
5. For each year since 2001, which of your customers purchased products containing LCD modules from LG Display?
6. For each year since 2001, did your products using LCD modules from LG Display comply with any requirements and regulations that apply to imports or sales in the United States?
If so, which products?
7. Which brands and models of LCD products did your company supply or manufacture for sale in the United States, in whole or in part, including the number of such units sold and the price per unit, each year since March 8, 2001?
8. What was the annual revenue received by your company from the sales of LCD products in each year from 2001 to 2007?
9. Since March 8, 2001, what models of LCD modules have you purchased and/or received from LG Display?
10. Since March 8, 2001, which LCD modules manufactured or sold by LG Display were used in each of the different brands and models of products manufactured and sold by your company?

11. For each product model made or sold by your company, how many of your products and units used LG Display modules?

12. For each year since 2001, how many of each model of LCD module has your company purchased or received from LG Display?

13. For each year since 2001, what was the price paid, including the unit price and net price after any discounts or rebates, for each model of LCD module purchased or received from LG Display?

14. For each year since March 8, 2001, how many of each brand and model of LCD product you shipped and sold used or could have used modules from LG Display?

15. For each year since March 8, 2001, what was the destination of each brand and model of LCD product you shipped and sold that used or could have used modules from LG Display?

16. Identify each brand and customer that has imported and/or sold in the United States LCD products made or supplied by your company since March 8, 2001?

17. What price did your company sell each brand and model of LCD product to its customers that sell LCD products in the United States, for each year since March 8, 2001?

18. What was the annual volume of sales made by your company to each customer that sells LCD products in the United States, including the quantity of each model sold or supplied to or for each customer, each year since March 8, 2001?

19. What was the annual revenue generated from sales made by your company to brands and customers that sell LCD products in the United States, for each model of your products, each year since 2001?

SCHEDULE B

NOTE: Throughout these requests, "LG Display" refers to both LG Display Co., Ltd and LG Display America, Inc.

LIST OF THE DOCUMENTS AND THINGS TO BE PRODUCED

1. Documents showing the different models of LCD products sold by your company since March 8, 2001.
2. Documents showing the unit sales of each different brand and model of LCD product sold by your company since March 8, 2001, including the number of units sold and the price per unit.
3. Documents showing which brands and models of LCD products were manufactured for sale in the United States, in whole or in part, including the number of such units sold and the price per unit, each year since March 8, 2001.
4. Documents showing the annual revenue received by your company from the sales of LCD products from 2001 to 2007.
5. Documents showing the models of LCD modules purchased and/or received, since March 8, 2001 from LG Display.
6. Documents showing which LG Display modules were used in each of the different brands and models of products manufactured and sold by your company since March 8, 2001, and the quantity of each LG Display module used for each product model made or sold by your company.
7. Documents showing, since March 8, 2001 how many of each model of LCD module your company purchased or received, on an annual basis, from LG Display.
8. Documents showing, on an annual basis since March 8, 2001, the price paid, including the unit price and net price after any discounts or rebates, for each model of LCD module purchased or received from LG Display.

9. Documents showing, on an annual basis since March 8, 2001, how many of each brand and model of LCD product you shipped and sold that used or could have used LCD modules from LG Display.

10. Documents showing, since March 8, 2001, the destination of each brand and model of LCD product you shipped and sold that used or could have used modules from LG Display.

11. Documents showing how many LCD modules your company has purchased from suppliers other than LG Display on an annual basis since March 8, 2001.

12. Documents showing the percentage of LCD modules that your company has purchased from each of its suppliers on an annual basis since March 8, 2001.

13. Documents showing the identity of brands and customers that sell or sold in the United States LCD products made or sold by your company since March 8, 2001.

14. Documents showing the price at which your company sold each brand and model of LCD product to its customers that sell LCD products in the United States, for each year since March 8, 2001.

15. Documents showing the annual volume of sales made by your company to each customer that sells LCD products in the United States, including the quantity of each model sold or supplied to or for each customer, since March 8, 2001.

16. Documents showing the annual revenue generated from sales made by your company to brands and customers that sell LCD products in the United States, for each model of your products, since March 8, 2001.